Questions 20-29

The medieval artists didn't know about perspective; they didn't want to make their people look like real, individual people in a real, individual scene. They wanted to show the truth, the eternal quality of their religious stories. So these artists didn't need to know Line about perspective.

(5) In the European Renaissance period, artists wanted to show the importance of the individual person and his or her possessions and surroundings. A flat medieval style couldn't show this level of reality and the artists needed a new technique. It was the Italian artist Brunelleschi who discovered the technique of perspective drawing. At first the artists of the Renaissance only had single-point perspective. Later they realized that they could have two-pointed perspective and still later multi-point perspective.

With two-point perspective they could turn an object (like a building) at an angle to the picture and draw two sides of it. The technique of perspective which seems so natural to us now is an invented technique, a part of the "grammar of painting". Like all bits of grammar there are exceptions about perspective. For example, only vertical and horizontal surfaces seem to meet on eye level. Sloping roof tops don't meet on eye level.

For 500 years, artists in Europe made use of perspective drawing in their pictures. Nevertheless, there are a range of priorities that artists in displaying individual styles. Crivelli wanted to show depth in his picture and he used a simple single-point perspective. Cezanne always talked about space and volume. Van Gogh, like some of the other painters of the Impressionist period, was interested in Japanese prints. And Japanese artists until this century were always very strong designers of "flat" pictures. Picasso certainly made pictures which have volume and depth. However, he wanted to keep our eyes on the surface and to remind us that his paintings are paintings and not illusions.

It is technically easy to give an illusion of depth. However, a strong two dimensional (25) design is just as important as a feeling of depth, and perhaps more important.

20. The passage mainly discusses

(20)

- (A) the difference between medieval and Renaissance art
- (B) how the technique of perspective influenced the modern art
- (C) the discovery of the technique of perspective
- (D) the contribution of Renaissance artists

21. The word "eternal" in line 3 is closest in meaning to

- (A) timeless (B) infinite (C) frequent (D) constant
- 22. According to the passage, which is the main concern for medieval artists?
 - (A) the individual person and his/her possessions and surroundings
 - (B) real people, real scenes
 - (C) eternal timeless truth of the earth
 - (D) themes of religious stories
- 23. The discovery of perspective was the result of
 - (A) Renaissance artists' to prove that the medieval artists could show level of reality
 - (B) the need to turn an object at an angle and draw more than one side of it
 - (C) the subject being shifted from religious stories to individual person and surroundings.
 - (D) natural evolution of human senses
- 24. The word "it" in line 12 refers to
 - (A) the picture (B) perspective (C) angle (D) the object
- 25. The word "Grammar" in line 13 is closest in meaning to
 - (A) construction (B) grammatical rules
 - (C) rules and regulations
- (D) tones and volume

- 26. The author's purpose to give the example in line14-15 is to
 - (A) explain how perspective work in painting
 - (B) support two-pointed perspective
 - (C) illustrate that there are exceptions about perspective
 - (D) point out that the technique of perspective though seems so natural is an invented technique
- 27. The following artists' priorities in style shift away from perspective EXCEPT

(A) Crivelli

(B) Cezanne

(C) Japanese artists

(D) Brunelleschi

28. The word "Illusion" in line 25 is closest in meaning to

(A) deception

(B) photograph

(C) decoration

(D) illustration

- 29. It can be inferred from the passage that Renaissance artists
 - (A) embraced the medieval style of eternal truth
 - (B) needed to develop a new approach towards painting to show a new level of reality
 - (C) were inspired by vertical and horizontal surfaces in inventing the technique of perspective
 - (D) saw two dimensional design more important than a feeling of depth

Questions 30-39

There are two main hypotheses when it comes to explaining the emergence of modern humans. The 'Out of Africa' theory holds that homo sapiens burst onto the scene as a new species around 150,000 to 200,000 years ago in Africa and subsequently Line replaced archaic humans such as the Neandertals. The other model, known as multi-

- regional evolution or regional continuity, posits far more ancient and diverse roots for our kind. Proponents of this view believe that homo sapiens arose in Africa some 2 million years ago and evolved as a single species spread across the Old World, with populations in different regions linked through genetic and cultural exchange.
- Of these two models, Out of Africa, which was originally developed based on fossil (10) evidence, and supported by much genetic research, has been favored by the majority of evolution scholars. The vast majority of these genetic studies have focused on DNA from living populations, and although some small progress has been made in recovering DNA from Neandertal that appears to support multi-regionalism, the chance of recovering nuclear DNA from early human fossils is quite slim at present. Fossils thus remain very much a part of the human origins debate.

Another means of gathering theoretical evidence is through bones. Examinations of early modern human skulls from Central Europe and Australia dated to between 20,000 and 30,000 years old have suggested that both groups apparently exhibit traits seen in their Middle Eastern and African predecessors. But the early modern specimens from

(20) Central Europe also display Neandertal traits, and the early modern Australians showed affinities to archaic Homo from Indonesia. Meanwhile, the debate among paleoanthropologists continues, as supporters of the two hypotheses challenge the evidence and conclusions of each other.

- 30. The passage primarily discusses which of the following
 - (A) Evidence that supports the "Out of Africa" theory
 - (B) Two hypotheses and some evidence on the human origins debate
 - (C) The difficulties in obtaining agreement among theorists on the human origins debate
 - (D) That fossils remain very much a part of the human origins debate
- 31. The word "emergence" in line 1 is closest in meaning to

32. The word "proponents" (A) experts	in line 6 is closet in mean (B) advocates	ning to (C) inspectors	(D) historians
(B) the multi-regiona (C) the Out of Africa	gathering evidence are in the same gathering evidence are in model goes back furthe model has had more support of the same sup	•	
34. The word "slim" in line (A) small	14 is closest in meaning t (B) narrow	to (C) thin	(D) difficult
(B) early modern hu (C) both hypotheses	of genetic studies have for man skulls all support the focus on Africa as a loca		
36. In line 18, the word "the (A) Middle Easterne(C) central European	rs and Africans	following (B) skulls (D) traits	
(B) Genetic studies (C) Both hypotheses	regard Neandertals to be nave supported both hypo cite Africa as an originat	e the predecessors of mod otheses	
(B) the debate will in (C) the debate is like	the passage that be an end to the debate in terest historians to take p ely to be less important in ihood that the debate will	part in future	
39. According to the passa kind because (A) Evidence from e		olution model posits far mo	

(B) development

(C) appearance

(D) decline

(A) complexity

different parts of the world.

(D) This has been supported by fossil evidence

(B) DNA from Neandertal appears to support multi-regionalism

(C) Populations in different regions were linked through genetic and cultural exchange